IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Writ Petition No.493 of 2007.

[Sau. Mukta W/o Vilas Khandale]

Vs.

[Zilla Parishad, Bhandara and others]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,appearances,Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	**Court's or Judge's orders**

Shri I. S. Charlewar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs. M. P. Mushi, Advocate for Respondents no.1 & 2.
Shri R. K. Rangari Advocate for respondent no.3.

Coram: A. H. JOSHI AND
R. C. CHAVAN, JJ.

Dated : 14th September 2007.

1. The petitioner challenged the appointment of respondent no.3 as Anganwadi Madatnis.

2. The foundation of the challenge is that the petitioner is not resident of village Dabha, and rather she is resident of village Kharashi.

3. The fact that the petitioner is married to Ashok Dhenge is not in dispute, and also that Ashok Dhenge resides at Dhaba.

4. The effort is made to show that the evidence of residents of petitioner at Dhaba is of recent origin.

5. The reliance placed by the respondents on the voters list is also at the recent origin. The learned Advocate for the petitioner had tendered, for the perusal of the Court, a copy of voters list of Sakoli Sector showing that in the voter's list prepared in 2006, the petitioner's name is shown. We find from perusal thereof that at Sr. No. 894 name of one Zalke Chhaya Moreshwar is seen while that is not the maiden name of the petitioner,

nor the petitioner's name tallies with Sr. No.896 Dhenge Anita Ashok, as admittedly respondent no.3 is Jyoti Ashok Dhenge.

6. The place of residence of respondent no.3, after her marriage, is seen to be village Dhaba, Tah. And District Bhandara. The challenge is that the respondent no.3 is not the local resident where she is appointed is thus, based on the foundation which is not strong.

7. The petition, therefore, does not call for any interference. Petition is dismissed.

8. Interim relief stands vacated.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

Sbm